

117TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

S. _____

To encourage the migration of Federal Government information technology systems to quantum-resistant cryptography, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Ms. HASSAN (for herself and Mr. PORTMAN) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on

A BILL

To encourage the migration of Federal Government information technology systems to quantum-resistant cryptography, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Quantum Computing
5 Cybersecurity Preparedness Act”.

6 **SEC. 2. FINDINGS; SENSE OF CONGRESS.**

7 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

1 (1) Cryptography is essential for the national
2 security of the United States and the functioning of
3 the economy of the United States.

4 (2) The most widespread encryption protocols
5 today rely on computational limits of classical com-
6 puters to provide cybersecurity.

7 (3) Quantum computers might one day have the
8 ability to push computational boundaries, allowing
9 us to solve problems that have been intractable thus
10 far, such as integer factorization, which is important
11 for encryption.

12 (4) The rapid progress of quantum computing
13 suggests the potential for adversaries of the United
14 States to steal sensitive encrypted data today using
15 classical computers, and wait until sufficiently pow-
16 erful quantum systems are available to decrypt it.

17 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
18 gress that—

19 (1) a strategy for the migration of information
20 technology systems of the Federal Government to
21 post-quantum cryptography is needed; and

22 (2) the Governmentwide and industrywide ap-
23 proach to post-quantum cryptography should
24 prioritize developing applications, hardware intellec-

1 tual property, and software that can be easily up-
2 dated to support cryptographic agility.

3 **SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.**

4 In this Act:

5 (1) **CLASSICAL COMPUTER.**—The term “clas-
6 sical computer” means a device that accepts digital
7 data and manipulates the information based on a
8 program or sequence of instructions for how data is
9 to be processed and encodes information in binary
10 bits that can either be 0s or 1s.

11 (2) **DIRECTOR OF CISA.**—The term “Director of
12 CISA” means the Director of the Cybersecurity and
13 Infrastructure Security Agency.

14 (3) **DIRECTOR OF NIST.**—The term “Director
15 of NIST” means the Director of the National Insti-
16 tute of Standards and Technology.

17 (4) **DIRECTOR OF OMB.**—The term “Director of
18 OMB” means the Director of the Office of Manage-
19 ment and Budget.

20 (5) **EXECUTIVE AGENCY.**—The term “executive
21 agency” has the meaning given the term “Executive
22 agency” in section 105 of title 5, United States
23 Code.

1 (6) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.—The term
2 “information technology” has the meaning given the
3 term in section 3502 of title 44, United States Code.

4 (7) POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY.—The
5 term “post-quantum cryptography” means a cryp-
6 tographic system that—

7 (A) is secure against decryption attempts
8 using a quantum computer or classical com-
9 puter; and

10 (B) can interoperate with existing commu-
11 nications protocols and networks.

12 (8) QUANTUM COMPUTER.—The term “quan-
13 tum computer” means a computer that uses the col-
14 lective properties of quantum states to perform cal-
15 culations.

16 **SEC. 4. INVENTORY OF CRYPTOGRAPHIC SYSTEMS; MIGRA-**
17 **TION TO POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY.**

18 (a) INVENTORY.—

19 (1) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 180 days
20 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director
21 of OMB shall establish, by rule or binding guidance,
22 a requirement for each executive agency to establish
23 and maintain an inventory of each cryptographic
24 system in use by the agency.

1 (2) ADDITIONAL CONTENT IN RULE OR BIND-
2 ING GUIDANCE.—In the rule or binding guidance es-
3 tablished by paragraph (1), the Director of OMB
4 shall include, in addition to the requirement de-
5 scribed under that paragraph—

6 (A) a description of information technology
7 to be prioritized for migration to post-quantum
8 cryptography;

9 (B) a description of the information re-
10 quired to be reported pursuant to subsection
11 (b); and

12 (C) a process for evaluating progress on
13 migrating information technology to post-quan-
14 tum cryptography, which shall be automated to
15 the greatest extent practicable.

16 (3) PERIODIC UPDATES.—The Director of OMB
17 shall update the rule or binding guidance established
18 by paragraph (1) as the Director determines nec-
19 essary.

20 (b) AGENCY REPORTS.—Not later than 1 year after
21 the date of enactment of this Act, and on an ongoing basis
22 thereafter, the head of each executive agency shall provide
23 to the Director of OMB, the Director of CISA, and the
24 National Cyber Director an inventory of all information

1 technology in use by the executive agency that is vulner-
2 able to decryption by quantum computers.

3 (c) MIGRATION AND ASSESSMENT.—

4 (1) MIGRATION TO POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGR-
5 RAPHY.—Not later than 1 year after the date on
6 which the Director of NIST has issued post-quantum
7 cryptography standards, the Director of OMB
8 shall issue guidance requiring each executive agency
9 to develop a plan to migrate information technology
10 of the agency to post-quantum cryptography.

11 (2) DESIGNATION OF SYSTEMS FOR MIGRA-
12 TION.—Not later than 90 days after the date on
13 which the guidance required by paragraph (1) has
14 been issued, the Director of OMB shall issue guid-
15 ance for agencies to—

16 (A) designate information technology to be
17 migrated to post-quantum cryptography; and

18 (B) prioritize information technology des-
19 igned under subparagraph (A), on the basis
20 of the amount of risk posed by decryption by
21 quantum computers to that technology, for mi-
22 gration to post-quantum cryptography.

23 (d) INTEROPERABILITY.—The Director of OMB shall
24 ensure that the designations and prioritizations made

1 under subsection (c)(2) are assessed and coordinated to
2 ensure interoperability.

3 (e) REPORT ON POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY.—

4 Not later than 15 months after the date of enactment of
5 this Act, the Director of OMB shall submit to Congress
6 a report on the following:

7 (1) A strategy to address the risk posed by the
8 vulnerabilities of information technology systems of
9 executive agencies to weakened encryption due to the
10 potential and possible capability of a quantum com-
11 puter to breach that encryption.

12 (2) The amount of funding needed by executive
13 agencies to secure the information technology sys-
14 tems described in paragraph (1) from the risk posed
15 by an adversary of the United States using a quan-
16 tum computer to breach the encryption of informa-
17 tion technology systems.

18 (3) A description of Federal civilian executive
19 branch coordination efforts led by the National In-
20 stitute of Standards and Technology, including
21 timelines, to develop standards for post-quantum
22 cryptography, including any Federal Information
23 Processing Standards developed under chapter 35 of
24 title 44, United States Code, as well as standards
25 developed through voluntary, consensus standards

1 bodies such as the International Organization for
2 Standardization.

3 (f) REPORT ON MIGRATION TO POST-QUANTUM
4 CRYPTOGRAPHY IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYS-
5 TEMS.—Not later than 1 year after the date on which the
6 Director of OMB issues guidance under subsection (c)(2),
7 and annually thereafter until the date that is 5 years after
8 the date on which post-quantum cryptographic standards
9 are issued, the Director of OMB shall submit to Congress,
10 with the report submitted pursuant to section 3553(c) of
11 title 44, United States Code, a report on the progress of
12 executive agencies in adopting post-quantum cryptography
13 standards.

14 **SEC. 5. DETERMINATION OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS.**

15 The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of
16 complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010,
17 shall be determined by reference to the latest statement
18 titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this
19 Act, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record
20 by the Chairman of the House Budget Committee, pro-
21 vided that such statement has been submitted prior to the
22 vote on passage.